About
$$s - \bar{s}$$
 and $D_d^{K^+ - K^-}$

in K^{\pm} production in SIDIS

EKATERINA CHRISTOVA

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia

based on collaboration with

Elliot Leader - Imperial College, London

We know from experiment:

DIS & SIDIS:

$$s+ar{s},\quad \Delta s+\Delta ar{s},\quad \int dx\, (\Delta s+\Delta ar{s}) < 0$$

 e^+e^- & SIDIS:

$$D_q^{K^+}, \quad D_q^{K^-}$$

<u>but</u> data is not enough and not precise enough \Rightarrow always assumptions:

$$s-ar{s}=0, \quad \Delta s-\Delta ar{s}=0, \quad D_d^{K^+}=D_d^{K^-}...$$

The goal:

How can we justify these assumptions directly?-SIDIS

E. Ch. and E. Leader, EPJ, 2007

In general:

$$egin{aligned} s &= s_+ + s_-, \quad ar{s} &= ar{s}_+ + ar{s}_-, \quad s_\pm \geq 0, \, ar{s}_\pm \geq 0, \ \Delta s &= s_+ - s_-, \, \Delta ar{s} &= ar{s}_+ - ar{s}_-, \quad \Delta s \lessgtr 0, \, \Delta ar{s} \lessgtr 0. \end{aligned}$$

The positivity constraints: $|\Delta s| \leq s, |\Delta \bar{s}| \leq \bar{s}$

$$s-ar{s}=(s_{+}+s_{-})-(ar{s}_{+}+ar{s}_{-})\lessgtr 0$$
 $\Delta s+\Delta ar{s}=(s_{+}+ar{s}_{+})-(s_{+}+ar{s}_{-})\lessgtr 0$
 $\Delta s-\Delta ar{s}=(s_{+}+ar{s}_{-})-(s_{-}+ar{s}_{+})\lessgtr 0$
 $\Rightarrow |s-ar{s}|\le s+ar{s},\quad s-ar{s}\lessgtr 0$
 $\Rightarrow |\Delta s\pm\Delta ar{s}|\le s+ar{s},\quad \Delta s\pm\Delta ar{s}\lessgtr 0$

- - 1) $x \gtrsim 0, 5:$ $s \bar{s} \simeq 0,$ $\Delta s \pm \Delta \bar{s} \simeq 0$
 - 2) $\int (s \bar{s}) = 0 \implies s \bar{s}$ changes sign
- no relation between $\Delta s \Delta \bar{s} \& \Delta s + \Delta \bar{s}$ \Rightarrow Our knowledge of $\Delta s + \Delta \bar{s}$ does not help us put limits on $\Delta s - \Delta \bar{s}$!

SIDIS with Kaons sensitive to s-quarks:

$$[K^+=(ar su)]$$

$$\begin{split} \text{SIDIS}: & l + N \to l + K^{\pm} + X \\ & \sigma_p^{K^+ - K^-} \equiv \sigma_p^{K^+} - \sigma_p^{K^-} \\ & \sigma_p^{K^+ - K^-} = e_u^2 \, u_V \, D_u^{K^+ - K^-} + e_d^2 \, d_V \, D_d^{K^+ - K^-} \\ & + e_d^2 \, (s - \bar{s}) \, D_s^{K^+ - K^-} \\ & \Delta \sigma_p^{K^+ - K^-} = e_u^2 \, \Delta u_V \, D_u^{K^+ - K^-} + e_d^2 \, \Delta d_V \, D_d^{K^+ - K^-} \\ & + e_d^2 \, (\Delta s - \Delta \bar{s}) \, D_s^{K^+ - K^-} \end{split}$$
 Why difference cross sections?

- all terms are NS's \Rightarrow in PD's & FF's
 - ullet no g(x) and $D_q^h(z)$
 - in NLO, NNLO ... no new PD's & FF's
 - in Q^2 evolution no new PD's & FF's

E. Ch. and E. Leader,

Nucl. Phys. B607 (2001); SPIN2004, Trieste

$$s - \bar{s} = 0$$
? LO:

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_{p+n}^{K^+-K^-} &= (u_V + d_V) (4D_u + m{D}_d)^{K^+-K^-} + 2(m{s} - ar{m{s}}) D_s^{K^+-K^-} \ & \\ \sigma_{p-n}^{K^+-K^-} &= (u_V - d_V) (4D_u - m{D}_d)^{K^+-K^-} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the measurable quantity:

$$egin{aligned} R_+(x,z) &= rac{\sigma_d^{K^+} - \sigma_d^{K^-}}{u_V + d_V} \ &= (4D_u + D_d) \left[1 + rac{s - ar{s}}{2(u_V + d_V)} \left(rac{D_s}{D_u}
ight)^{K^+ - K^-}
ight] \ & ext{if} \quad s - ar{s} \simeq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad R_+(oldsymbol{x},z) = (4D_u + D_d)(z) \ & ext{Recall}: \quad \left(rac{D_s}{D_u}
ight)^{K^+ - K^-} \ & (z) > 1 \end{aligned}$$

We examine the x-dependence \rightarrow it is present only if $s - \bar{s} \neq 0$:

1. If
$$R_+(x,z_0) \neq R_+(z_0) \to s - \bar{s} \neq 0$$

2. If
$$R_+(x,z_0) = R_+(z_0) \to s - \bar{s} \simeq 0$$

- Independently of our knowledge of the FF's we can test if $s \bar{s} \simeq 0$ is a good approximation!
- If $D_q^{K\pm}$ known at some z_0 we can put limits on $s-\bar{s}$ the FFs: *EMC 1989*; de Florian, Sassot & Stratmann, 2007

Limits on $s - \bar{s}$, LO

If there is no dependence on x, it is always within a certain error of the measurements:

$$egin{array}{ll} \underline{ ext{th} :} & R_+(m{x}, m{z}) \ = \ (4D_u + m{D_d}) + rac{m{s} - ar{m{s}}}{u_V + d_V} D_s^{K^+ - K^-} \ \underline{ ext{exp} :} & = \ r_+(m{z}_0) \pm \delta r_+(m{z}_0), \ r_+ >> \delta r_+ \end{array}$$

The limits depend on $\delta r_+/r_+$ and on our knowledge of the FFs at $z=z_0$:

$$|rac{(s-ar{s})}{2(u_V+d_V)}\left(rac{D_s}{D_u}
ight)^{K^+-K^-}|\leq rac{\delta r_+}{|r_+|}$$

LO?

$$\left(\sigma_{p}-\sigma_{n}
ight)^{K^{+}-K^{-}}\!(x,z)=\left(u_{V}-d_{V}
ight)(4\,D_{u}-D_{d})^{K^{+}-K^{-}}$$

Consider the measurable quantity:

$$egin{array}{ll} R_{-}(m{x},z) \; = \; rac{(\sigma_{p}-\sigma_{n})^{K^{+}-K^{-}}}{u_{V}-d_{V}} = \ & = \; \left(4\,D_{u}-D_{d}
ight)^{K^{+}-K^{-}}\!(z) \end{array}$$

We examine the x-dependence \rightarrow present only if NLO:

1. If
$$R_{-}(x, z_0) = R_{-}(z_0) \Rightarrow \text{LO}!$$

2. If
$$R_{-}(x,z_0)\neq R_{-}(z_0)\Rightarrow \text{ NLO!}$$

• Independent on our knowledge of the FFs

$$\underline{D_d^{K^+-K^-}=0?}$$

$$egin{cases} R_+(x,z) = (4\,D_u + {\color{red}D_d})^{K^+-K^-} + rac{2(s-ar{s})}{(u_V+d_V)}\,{\color{red}D_s^{K^+-K^-}} \ R_-(x,z) = (4\,D_u - {\color{red}D_d})^{K^+-K^-} \end{cases}$$

Then:

Then:
$$R_+ - R_- = 2 {\color{red} D_d^{K^+ - K^-}} + rac{2(s - ar s)}{(u_V + d_V)} D_s^{K^+ - K^-}$$

Suppose there is no x-dependence in both $R_{\pm}(x,z_0)$:

$$egin{cases} R_+(m{x},z_0)=R_+(z_0) &\Rightarrow &(s-ar{s})\simeq 0 \ R_-(m{x},z_0)=R_-(z_0) &\Rightarrow & ext{LO!} \end{cases}$$

Then:

1. If
$$(R_+ - R_-)(z_0) \neq 0 \rightarrow D_d^{K^+ - K^-}(z_0) \neq 0$$

• Independently of our knowledge of the FF's we can say if $D_d^{K^+-K^-}(z_0) \simeq 0$ is a reasonable approximation!

Limits on $D_d^{K^+-K^-}$

$$egin{aligned} rac{1)\,th:}{=} & \left\{ egin{aligned} R_{+} &= (4D_{u} + D_{d}) \left\{ 1 + rac{2\,(s - ar{s})}{u_{V} + d_{V}}\,D_{s}
ight\} \ R_{+} - R_{-} &= 2D_{d} + rac{2\,(s - ar{s})}{u_{V} + d_{V}}\,D_{s} \end{aligned} \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}} \end{aligned} \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}} \end{aligned} \ & \geq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+} - K^{-}}
eq 0 \ \ & \Rightarrow R_{+}(z) - R_{-}(z)
eq 0 \quad o & ext{limits on } D_{d}^{K^{+$$

Then:

$$egin{aligned} &|rac{\left(s-ar{s}
ight)}{\left(u_V+d_V
ight)}\left(rac{D_s}{2D_u}
ight)^{K^+-K^-}| \leq rac{\delta r_+}{|r_+|} \ &|\left(rac{D_d}{2D_u}
ight)^{K^+-K^-}| \leq rac{\delta r_+}{|r_+|} + rac{\delta r}{2|D_u^{K^+-K^-}|} \end{aligned}$$

We solve the two inequalities:

$$egin{aligned} |a| & \leq \delta_1 \ |a+b| & \leq \delta_2 \ & o & \delta_1 - \delta_2 \leq |b| \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2 \end{aligned}$$

NLO:

The analytic express. contain the same PD's and FF's as in LO, only in NLO they enter in convolutions:

$$egin{aligned} &(\sigma_p+\sigma_n)^{K^+-K^-}=\ &=[(u_V+d_V)\otimes (4D_u+ extstyle D_d)+2(s-ar s)\otimes extstyle D_s]\otimes (1+lpha_s\,C_{qq})\ &(\sigma_p-\sigma_n)^{K^+-K^-}=(u_V-d_V)\otimes (1+lpha_s\,C_{qq})\otimes (4D_u- extstyle D_d) \end{aligned}$$

If $\underline{\text{both:}} \ s - \bar{s} \simeq 0 \ \& \ D_d^{K^+ - K^-}(z_0) \simeq 0 \ \text{then we must}$ succeed to fit both $(\sigma_p \pm \sigma_n)^{K^+-K^-}$ with the same

$$egin{cases} \left\{ egin{aligned} (\sigma_p + \sigma_n)^{K^+ - K^-}(x,z) &= (u_V + d_V) \otimes (1 + lpha_s \, C_{qq}) \otimes D(z) \ &(\sigma_p - \sigma_n)^{K^+ - K^-}(x,z) &= (u_V - d_V) \otimes (1 + lpha_s \, C_{qq}) \otimes D(z) \end{aligned}
ight. \ \left. egin{aligned} igtarrow D(z) &= D_u^{K^+ - K^-}(z) \end{aligned}
ight.$$

If no such fit can be obtained \Rightarrow either

- 1) $s \bar{s} \neq 0$, or
- 2) $D_d^{K^+-K^-}(z_0) \neq 0$ or
- 2) D_d^{K} $K(z_0) \neq 0$ or 3) both $s \bar{s} \neq 0$ & $D_d^{K^+ K^-}(z_0) \neq 0$ No knowledge of $D_{u,s}^{K^+ K^-}$ required!

Measurabilty of $s - \bar{s}$ and $D_d^{K^+ - K^-}$

- 1) difference cross sections: \Rightarrow high precisions needed
- 2) data in bins in both x and z required

HERMES 2005: SIDIS $\Rightarrow \sigma_d^{K^{\pm}}, \, \sigma_p^{K^{\pm}}, \, [x_i, z_j]$ presented in 4 z-bins as functions of x:

$$0,023 \leq x \leq 0,300 \quad \rightarrow \quad in \quad 7 \quad x-bins$$

For 2 of the z-bins:

$$0,350 \le z \le 0,450$$

$$0,450 \le z \le 0,600$$

the precision is

$$\sigma_d^{K^+-K^-} \simeq (7-13)\% \ \sigma_{p-n}^{K^+-K^-} \simeq (10-15)\%$$

ullet We can form R_+ and $R_ (u_V \text{ and } d_V \text{ are known well enough)}$ and test $s-\bar{s} \simeq 0$ for $0,023 \leq x \leq 0,300$ with this precision!

$$\Delta s - \Delta \bar{s} = 0?$$

$$egin{aligned} ext{COMPASS:} \ \overrightarrow{l} + \overrightarrow{N} &
ightarrow l + h^{\pm} + X, \quad A_d^{h-ar{h}} = rac{\Delta \sigma_d^{h-ar{h}}}{\sigma_d^{h-ar{h}}} \ ext{If:} \ \overrightarrow{l} + \overrightarrow{N} &
ightarrow l + K^{\pm} + X, \quad A_d^{K^+-K^-} = rac{\Delta \sigma_d^{K^+-K^-}}{\sigma_d^{K^+-K^-}} = \ &= rac{(\Delta u_V + \Delta d_V)(4D_u + D_d) + 2(\Delta s - \Delta ar{s})D_s}{(u_V + d_V)(4D_u + D_d) + 2(s - ar{s})D_s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\simeq rac{\Delta u_V + \Delta d_V}{u_V + d_V} \left\{ 1 + \left(rac{\Delta s - \Delta ar{s}}{\Delta u_V + \Delta d_V} - rac{s - ar{s}}{u_V + d_V}
ight) \left(rac{D_s}{2D_u}
ight)^{K^+ - K^-}
ight\}$$

Study the z-dependence of $A_d^{K^+-K^-}(x_0,z)$ at $x=x_0$:

- If $\Delta s \Delta \bar{s} \neq 0$ or $/\& s \bar{s} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow z$ -dependence
- If $s \bar{s} \simeq 0$ we obtain info. about $\Delta s \Delta \bar{s} \simeq 0$:
 - 1) If there is z-dep. $\Rightarrow \Delta s \Delta \bar{s} \neq 0$
- 2) If there is <u>no</u> z-dep. $\Rightarrow \Delta s \Delta \bar{s} \simeq 0$ is a good approxim.
 - No knowledge of the FFs required!

$\underline{\underline{A_d^{h-ar{h}}}}$

$$A_d^{h-ar{h}} = rac{\Delta \sigma_d^{h-ar{h}}}{\sigma_d^{h-ar{h}}} \quad \Leftarrow \quad COMPASS$$

Note: $A_d^{h-\bar{h}}$ cannot give info. about s-quarks $\to A_d^{h-\bar{h}}$ has low sensitivity to s-quarks:

$$rac{\sum_h D_s^{h-ar{h}}}{\sum_h (4D_u+D_d)^{h-ar{h}}} \simeq rac{D_s^{K^+-K^-}}{3D_u^{\pi^+-\pi^-}+4D_u^{K^+-K^-}} \ll 1$$

Recall:

$$egin{align} D_q^{h+} &= D_q^{\pi^+} + D_q^{K^+} + D_q^p + D_q^{res} \simeq D_q^{\pi^+} + D_q^{K+} \ &SU(2): \qquad D_s^{\pi^+-\pi^-} = 0 \ \end{aligned}$$

But:

$$ullet \quad A_d^{h-ar{h}} = A_d^{K^+-K^-} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(rac{\Delta s - \Delta ar{s}}{\Delta u_V + \Delta d_V} - rac{s - ar{s}}{u_V + d_V}
ight) \simeq 0$$

$$egin{align} ullet & A_p^{K^+-K^-}(oldsymbol{x},z) = rac{\Delta u_V}{u_V}(oldsymbol{x}) & \Rightarrow \ & D_d^{K^+-K^-} \simeq 0 \,, \qquad (s-ar{s}) \simeq (\Delta s - \Delta ar{s}) \simeq 0 \ \end{aligned}$$

$$l+N
ightarrow l+K^\pm, K_s^0+X$$

LO: K^{\pm} and K^0_s allows to determine $D^{K^++K^-}_q$ solely from SIDIS

important difference:

$$e^+e^-: s \simeq m_Z^2 \Rightarrow Z$$
-exchange

SIDIS:
$$q^2 \ll m_Z^2 \Rightarrow \gamma$$
-exchange

 Q^2 -evolution brings uncertainties: $D_g^{K^++K^-}=?$

 $\Rightarrow K_s^0$ allows to avoid them:

$$SU(2): \quad D_q^{K^++K^-} \leftrightarrow D_d^{K^0+ar{K}^0}$$

$$egin{array}{ll} \sigma_{p-n}^{K^++K^-+2K_s^0} &= ((u+ar u)-(d+ar d))D_{u+d}^{K^++K^-} \ \sigma_p^{K^++K^-+2K_s^0} &\simeq [e_u^2(u+ar u)+e_d^2(d+ar d)]D_{u+d}^{K^++K^-} \ &+2e_d^2(s+ar s)D_s^{K^++K^-} \end{array}$$

in all QCD orders only $D_{u+d}^{K^++K^-}$ and $D_s^{K^++K^-} \Leftarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$

$$\sigma_{p,n}^{K^++K^--2K_s^0} \simeq [e_u^2(u+\bar{u}) - e_d^2(d+\bar{d})] D_{u-d}^{K^++K^-}$$

NLO:
$$D_g^{K^++K^-}$$
 enters \Rightarrow $e^+e^- \to K^\pm + X$ needed

Conclusions

We suggest some tests for $s-\bar{s}=0$ and $D_d^{K^+-K^-}=0$

$$ullet \quad R_+(oldsymbol{x},z_0) = rac{\sigma_d^{K^+-K^-}}{u_V+d_V} \quad \Rightarrow \quad s-ar{s}
eq 0?$$

no knowledge of the FFs required!

 $ext{if } (D_s/D_u)^{K^+-K^-}(z_0) ext{ known} \quad \Rightarrow \quad ext{limits on } s-ar{s}$

$$ullet \quad R_-({m x},z_0) = rac{(\sigma_p-\sigma_n)^{K^+-K^-}}{u_V-d_V} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_d^{K^+-K^-}
eq 0?$$

no knowledge of the FFs required!

$$\bullet \quad R_{-}(\mathbf{z},z_0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad LO?$$

• NLO: fitting both

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_d^{K^+-K^-}(x,z) &\& & (\sigma_p-\sigma_n)^{K^+-K^-}(x,z) \ & ext{with the same } D(z) &\Rightarrow & s-ar s &\simeq 0 &\& & D_d^{K^+-K^-}(z_0) &\simeq 0 \ &\Rightarrow & D(z) = D_u^{K^+-K^-} \end{aligned}$$

no knowledge of the FFs required!

• HERMES 2005 has presented very precise data on SIDIS $\sigma_d^{K^\pm}$ and $\sigma_p^{K^\pm}$ which allows to form the above ratios and test $s-\bar{s}\simeq 0$ with $\lesssim 10\%$ accuracy in the x-range: $0.023 \leq x \leq 0.300$